

BULLETIN NUMBER: PENS 20-001

TITLE: Frequently Asked Questions pertaining to the new defined benefit funding requirements effective December 31, 2019

LEGISLATION: *Pension Benefits Standards Act*

DATE: March 26, 2020

PURPOSE

The BC Financial Services Authority has developed this bulletin to address some commonly-asked questions plan stakeholders may have concerning the new funding requirements and to provide the Superintendent of Pensions' (Superintendent) interpretation of the new rules.

The new funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans registered in British Columbia came into effect on December 31, 2019 through [Order In Council No. 649](#). The new requirements consisted of a number of changes, including the addition of a provision for adverse deviation under going concern funding requirements, changes to solvency funding requirements, and limitations on contribution holidays and withdrawals of available going concern excess.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

- Q1: Prior to filing an actuarial valuation report as at December 31, 2019 or later, can a plan begin making contributions under the new defined benefit funding rules upon filing an actuarial cost certificate?**
- A1: No.** An actuarial valuation report must be filed with the Superintendent before a plan may make contributions under the new defined benefit funding rules.

- Q2: Should the provision for adverse deviation (PfAD) be applied to an explicit allowance for expenses in normal cost?**
- A2:** For valuations prepared on a going concern basis, the PfAD must be applied to any provisions for plan expenses payable from the pension fund.
- Q3: Given there is now an explicit PfAD for going concern funding, what is the Superintendent's position on margins for adverse deviation in setting the going concern assumptions?**
- A3:** The Superintendent expects plan actuaries to exercise professional judgment in determining if an additional margin for conservatism is necessary. The actuary should consider factors pertaining to the pension plan, including the terms of engagement, the funding policy, the investment policy, the extent to which the plan trustees or administrators hope to mitigate contribution volatility, etc. The actuary is expected to provide a rationale in the valuation report for any changes to assumptions, including any changes in margins, if applicable.
- Q4: A plan has an accessible going concern excess if an actuarial valuation report reveals that the going concern assets value exceeds 105% of the going concern liabilities value plus a PfAD. Section 57(2)(a.1) of the Pension Benefits Standards Regulation (Regulation) requires a plan to fund the PfAD on normal cost unless the most recent actuarial valuation report establishes that the defined benefit component has accessible going concern excess. How much of the accessible going concern excess can a plan use to fund the PfAD under this provision?**
- A4:** Plans are permitted to use accessible going concern excess, to the extent available, to meet the funding requirements of PfAD on normal cost over the period covered by the actuarial valuation report.
- Q5: If a plan was using a letter of credit to meet the solvency funding requirements prior to December 31, 2019, can the letter of credit be reduced or allowed to expire at a subsequent review date if the plan is above the 85% solvency level?**
- A5:** Yes. A plan may reduce or allow any existing letters of credit to expire, as applicable, provided that the actuarial valuation report certifies that 85% of the plan's solvency liabilities does not exceed the sum of the plan's solvency assets value and the solvency asset adjustment (which factors in any letters of credit, if applicable).

Q6: Is it acceptable to remit the payment of the amount of a letter of credit into the plan's solvency reserve account (SRA)?

A6: Yes. The amount of the letter of credit can be made into the SRA portion of the plan fund as such payments are in respect of solvency deficiencies.

Q7: Since section 57(2)(c) of the Regulation requires solvency funding only up to 85% of the solvency liabilities, are transfer deficiency payments also only required up to 85% of the commuted value?

A7: No. Plans are required to make transfer deficiency payments equal to the amount by which the commuted value of the benefits exceeds the product of that commuted value and the plan's solvency ratio. The provisions under section 80 of the Regulation on transfers made from defined benefit plans with a solvency ratio less than 1 continue to apply. If a pension plan has a letter of credit in place, the transfer deficiency top-up payment must be made in accordance with section 63(17) of the Regulation.

Q8: If the plan contributor has remitted contributions in excess of the required contributions as determined upon filing of a new valuation report as at December 31, 2019 or later, can the overpayments be credited towards future required contributions, including normal cost?

A8: Yes, in accordance with section 57(12) of the Regulation, excess contributions may be credited towards future contribution requirements.

Q9: Further to Q8 above, if the excess contributions were made into the SRA portion of the plan fund, can the overpayments be credited towards future normal cost contributions?

A9: Yes. However, any overpayments must be transferred from the SRA to the non-SRA portion of the plan fund prior to being used to cover future normal cost contributions, as the only funds that may be deposited to an SRA are payments made in respect of solvency deficiencies. It is important to note that, in accordance with section 54(4) of the *Pension Benefits Standards Act* (Act), assets must not be transferred from the non-SRA portion of a pension fund to the SRA in the same pension fund. Further, the actuary is expected to provide a reconciliation of the SRA fund in the valuation report.

Q10: If the plan contributor has remitted contributions that are less than the required contributions as determined upon filing of a new valuation report as at December 31, 2019 or later, what is the "applicable interest" as provided under section 64(2) of the Regulation to be used given calculations of special payments do not take into account interest component over the valuation period?

A10: It is the Superintendent's position that the same rates of interest as were used in the most recent actuarial valuation report will apply for purposes of calculating interest under section 64(2) of the Regulation. That is, the going concern discount rate should be applied to the normal cost and going concern special payments, and the solvency discount rate should be applied to solvency special payments.

Q11: **Section 1.1(2) of the Regulation requires the use of the target non-fixed income allocation "as at the review date" set out in the plan's statement of investment policies and procedures (SIPP). For a plan taking a de-risking glide path that would occur after the valuation date of the report, what target asset allocation shall be used for purpose of determining PfAD under the going concern basis?**

A11: For the purpose of determining the PfAD under section 1.1(1) of the Regulation, the target asset allocation in the SIPP in effect as of the valuation date must be used and referenced. Future changes to the asset allocation noted in the SIPP, such as those in a de-risking glide path, that would occur after the valuation date of the report are not required to be considered when calculating the PfAD.

Please note that this may differ from the practice of setting the discount rate for purposes of the valuation report.

Q12: **Are plan sponsors required to file a new SIPP as a result of the new funding rules?**

A12: It is the Superintendent's expectation that if a plan makes significant amendments to the SIPP, a copy of the amended SIPP would be submitted to our office for our records.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you have any questions, please contact the Office of the Superintendent of Pensions at Pensions@bcfsa.ca or by phone at 604-660-3555.

As the BC Financial Services Authority, we issue information bulletins to provide technical interpretations and positions regarding certain provisions contained in the *Pension Benefits Standards Act*, the Pension Benefits Standards Regulation and other pertinent legislation. While the comments in a particular part of an information bulletin may relate to provisions of the law in force at the time they were made, these comments are not a substitute for the law. The reader should consider the comments in light of the relevant provisions of the law in force at the time, taking into account the effect of any relevant amendments to those provisions or relevant court decisions occurring after the date on which the comments were made. Subject to the above, an interpretation or position contained in an information bulletin generally applies as of the date on which it was published, unless otherwise specified.